

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,009 06/22/2001		22/2001	Tatsuya Yoshikawa	13298-004001	8488
26161	7590	02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST				STRICKLAND, JONAS N	
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/888,009 YOSHIKAWA, TATSUYA Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Jonas N. Strickland 1754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100

10. ☐ Other:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claims 19 and 20, raise new issues, since claim 1 does not require the instantly claimed modifying element, due to the word "or" in claim 1.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant claims with repsect to claims 1 and 16, "a composite oxide containing zirconium and manganese and/or cobalt". The Examiner interprets the composite oxide in the claim either to contain zirconium and manganese and cobalt; zirconium and manganese; as well as zirconium and cobalt. The claims do not specifically recite as stated in Applicant's remarks, wherein the composite oxide contains zirconium, manganese, and , optionally, cobalt. Therefore, Kato has been cited to disclose a catalyst comprised of cobalt and zirconium and not zirconium and manganese.Kato also discloses a proton zeolite. The Examiner has interpreted the claim as having an optional manganese component. Claims 4, 5, and 8 recite "the manganese and/or cobalt". Kato discloses a composite oxide of cobalt and zirconium, which meets the instantly claimed invention.